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Abstract. In this paper a new integral with respect to the index of Bessel functions of the first kind is evaluated.

## 1. Introduction

The equation of a massive scalar field in a two-dimensional Milne's universe [1,2] is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\eta^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\eta^{2} m^{2}\right) \Phi(\eta, \xi)=0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to quantize this field, a complete set of mode solutions of (1.1) is required. Sommerfeld [3] proposed

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{\lambda}(\eta, \xi)=\frac{-\mathrm{i}}{2}(\sinh (\pi|\lambda|))^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda \xi} J_{-\mathrm{i}|\lambda|}(m \eta)  \tag{1.2a}\\
& v_{\lambda}^{*}(\eta, \xi)=\frac{+\mathrm{i}}{2}(\sinh (\pi|\lambda|))^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \lambda \xi} J_{+\mathrm{i}|\lambda|}(m \eta) \tag{1.2b}
\end{align*}
$$

as a complete set of mode solutions, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $J_{\nu}$ Bessel functions of the first kind with order $\nu[4,5]$. Without loss of generality we will take the mass of the quanta of the field to be $m=1$.

In the calculation of the propagators of the field which satisfies (1.1), quantized by the mode-solutions (1.2), a new integral was found. It is a Kontorowich-Lebedev-like transformation:

$$
\begin{align*}
I\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)= & P . V . \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} \lambda \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x}}{\sinh (\pi \lambda)} J_{-\mathrm{i} \lambda}\left(y_{1}\right) J_{+\mathrm{i} \lambda}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
& -P . V . \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} \lambda \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x}}{\sinh (\pi \lambda)} J_{+\mathrm{i} \lambda}\left(y_{1}\right) J_{-\mathrm{i} \lambda}\left(y_{2}\right) \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} \lambda \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda x}}{\sinh (\pi \lambda)}\left[J_{-\mathrm{i} \lambda}\left(y_{1}\right) J_{+\mathrm{i} \lambda}\left(y_{2}\right)-J_{+\mathrm{i} \lambda}\left(y_{1}\right) J_{-\mathrm{i} \lambda}\left(y_{2}\right)\right] . \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda, a, b, c)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda a}}{\sinh (\pi \lambda)} J_{-\mathrm{i} \lambda}(b) J_{+\mathrm{i} \lambda}(c) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the integral to be evaluated becomes
$I\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=$ P.V. $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} \lambda f\left(\lambda, x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)-$ P.V. $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} \lambda f\left(\lambda, x, y_{2}, y_{1}\right)$.

## 2. The evaluation of $P . V . \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d} \lambda f(\lambda, a, b, c)$

The function $f(\lambda, a, b, c)$ is analytic with respect to $\lambda$ in $\mathbb{C} /\{i k: k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. In the points $\lambda=\mathrm{i} k(k \in \mathbb{Z})$, either $f(\lambda \ldots)$ has a first-order pole with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}(f(\lambda, a, b, c), \lambda=\mathrm{i} k)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-k a}}{\pi} J_{k}(b) J_{k}(c) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $J_{k}(a) J_{k}(b)=0$ and $f$ can be analytically continued to $\lambda=\mathrm{i} k$.
Two distinct contours, $C(n, \varepsilon)$ and $C^{\prime}(n, \varepsilon)$ will be used to perform the integration (see figures 1 and 2). $C_{4}$ and $C_{4}^{\prime}$ are semi-circles centred at $\lambda=0$ and with radius $n+\frac{1}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N} . C_{2}$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}$ are semi-circles centred at $\lambda=0$ and with radius $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<1$.

It is convenient to employ the notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)_{0}=1 \quad(z)_{1}=z \quad(z)_{k}=z(z+1) \ldots(z+k-1) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion of $J$ in a power series yeilds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\nu}(y)=\left(\frac{1}{2} y\right)^{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{k=\infty} \frac{\left(-y^{2} / 4\right)^{k}}{k!\Gamma(\nu+k+1)}=\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} y\right)^{\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{k=\infty} \frac{\left(-y^{2} / 4\right)^{k}}{k!(\nu+1)_{k}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $f(\lambda, a, b, c)$ can be expressed as
$f(\lambda, a, b, c)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda a}}{\sinh (\pi \lambda)} \frac{(c / b)^{\mathrm{i} \lambda}}{\Gamma(\mathrm{i} \lambda+1) \Gamma(-\mathrm{i} \lambda+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{k=\infty} \frac{\left(-c^{2} / 4\right)^{k}}{k!(\mathrm{i} \lambda+1)_{k}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times \sum_{k=0}^{k=\infty} \frac{\left(-b^{2} / 4\right)^{k}}{k!(-i \lambda+1)_{k}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the relationship $\Gamma(-\mathrm{i} \lambda+1) \Gamma(\mathrm{i} \lambda+1)=\pi \lambda / \sinh (\pi \lambda)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda, a, b, c)=\frac{\left(\mathrm{e}^{a} c / b\right)^{\mathrm{i} \lambda}}{\pi \lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{k=\infty} \frac{\left(-c^{2} / 4\right)^{k}}{k!(\mathrm{i} \lambda+1)_{k}} \sum_{k=0}^{k=\infty} \frac{\left(-b^{2} / 4\right)^{k}}{k!(-\mathrm{i} \lambda+1)_{k}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Using the relationship ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ):

$$
\left|\sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \frac{u^{k}}{k!(-\mathrm{i} \lambda+1)_{k}}\right| \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{e}^{|u|}}{|\mathrm{i} \lambda+1|}
$$

we can express $f(\lambda, a, b, c)$ as

$$
f(\lambda, a, b, c)=\frac{\left(\mathrm{e}^{a} c / b\right)^{\mathrm{i} \lambda}}{\pi \lambda}+g(\lambda, a, b, c)
$$

with $|g(\lambda, a, b, c)| \leqslant M /\left(\lambda^{2}\right)$ for some $M \in \mathbb{R}$.
Now it is clear that, whenever $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, b, c>0$ and $\mathrm{e}^{\alpha} b / c$ is not equal 1 , the integral $\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} f(\lambda, a, b, c) \mathrm{d} \lambda$ does exist $(\varepsilon>0)$.

If $\lambda \in C_{4}$ or $\lambda \in C_{4}^{\prime}$ then $\left|( \pm i \lambda+1)_{k}\right| \geqslant 1 / 2^{k}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(\lambda, a, b, c)| \leqslant \frac{\left(\mathrm{e}^{a} c / b\right)^{-1 \mathrm{~m}(\lambda)}}{\pi|\lambda|} \mathrm{e}^{\left(\mathrm{c}^{2}+b^{2}\right) / 2} \quad|\lambda|=n+\frac{1}{2}, n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Employing the parameterizations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \quad & 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi \text { in } C_{4} \\
& -\pi \leqslant \theta \leqslant 0 \text { in } C_{4}^{\prime} \tag{2.7b}
\end{array}
$$

the absolute value of the integral of $f$ over $C_{4}$ and $C_{4}^{\prime}$ can be estimated:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{C_{4}} f(\lambda, a, b, c) \mathrm{d} \lambda\right| \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\left(c^{2}+b^{2}\right) / 2}}{\pi} 2 \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{a} c / b\right)^{-\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sin (\lambda)} \mathrm{d} \lambda  \tag{2.8a}\\
& \left|\int_{C_{4}} f(\lambda, a, b, c) \mathrm{d} \lambda\right| \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\left(c^{2}+b^{2}\right) / 2}}{\pi} 2 \int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(\mathrm{e}^{a} c / b\right)^{+\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sin (\lambda)} \mathrm{d} \lambda \tag{2.8b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_{4}} f(\lambda, a, b, c) \mathrm{d} \lambda=0 & \text { if } \mathrm{e}^{a} c / b>1 \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_{4}} f(\lambda, a, b, c) \mathrm{d} \lambda=0 & \text { if } \mathrm{e}^{a} c / b<1 \tag{2.9b}
\end{array}
$$

Using the residue theorem we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{C(n, \varepsilon)} f(\lambda, a, b, c) \mathrm{d} \lambda=2 \pi \mathrm{i} \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-k a}}{\pi} J_{k}(b) J_{k}(c)  \tag{2.10}\\
& \int_{C^{\prime}(n, \varepsilon)} f(\lambda, a, b, c) \mathrm{d} \lambda=-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \sum_{k=-1}^{k=-n} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-k a}}{\pi} J_{k}(b) J_{k}(c) . \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\mathrm{e}^{a} c / b>1$,
$\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty+(n \in \mathbb{N})} \int_{C(n, \varepsilon)} f(\lambda, a, b, c) \mathrm{d} \lambda$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =P . V . \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda, a, b, c) \mathrm{d} \lambda-\pi \mathrm{i} \operatorname{Res}(f, 0) \\
& =2 \pi \mathrm{i} \sum_{k=1}^{k=\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-k a}}{\pi} J_{k}(b) J_{k}(c) \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore
P.V. $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda, a, b, c) \mathrm{d} \lambda=2 \mathrm{i}\left[\frac{1}{2} J_{0}(b) J_{0}(c)+\sum_{k=1}^{k=+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-k a} J_{k}(b) J_{k}(c)\right]$
if $\mathrm{e}^{a} c / b>1$. The same reasoning, using $C^{\prime}(n, \varepsilon)$ when $\mathrm{e}^{a} c / b<1$, gives
P.V. $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda, a, b, c) \mathrm{d} \lambda=-2 \mathrm{i}\left[\frac{1}{2} J_{0}(b) J_{0}(c)+\sum_{k=-1}^{k=-\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-k a} J_{k}(b) J_{k}(c)\right]$
if $\mathrm{e}^{a} c / b<1$.

## 3. The evaluation of $I\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$

From (2.13a) and (2.13b), it follows that $I\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=0$ if $\mathrm{e}^{-x}<y_{1} / y_{2}<\mathrm{e}^{x}$ and if $\mathrm{e}^{x}<y_{2} / y_{1}<\mathrm{e}^{-x}$, while
$I\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=2 \mathrm{i} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-k x} J_{k}\left(y_{1}\right) J_{k}\left(y_{2}\right) \quad$ if $y_{1} / y_{2}<\mathrm{e}^{-x} \quad$ and $y_{1} / y_{2}<\mathrm{e}^{x}$
$I\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=2 \mathrm{i} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-k x} J_{k}\left(y_{1}\right) J_{k}\left(y_{2}\right) \quad$ if $y_{1} / y_{2}>\mathrm{e}^{-x}$ and $y_{1} / y_{2}>\mathrm{e}^{x}$.
It is known [6] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}\left(\left(b^{2}+c^{2}-2 b c \cosh (a)\right)^{1 / 2}\right)=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-k a} J_{k}(b) J_{k}(c) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}-2 y_{1} y_{2} \cosh (x) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

algebraic manipulations of the preceding formula give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=-y_{1} y_{2} \mathrm{e}^{-x}\left(\mathrm{e}^{x} y_{2} / y_{1}-1\right)\left(\mathrm{e}^{x} y_{1} / y_{2}-1\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

So if $\sigma<0$ then $\mathrm{e}^{-x}<y_{1} / y_{2}<\mathrm{e}^{x}$ or $\mathrm{e}^{x}<y_{2} / y_{1}<\mathrm{e}^{-x}$ and $I\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=0 \quad \sigma<0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)>0$ and $y_{2}>y_{1}$ then $y_{1} / y_{2}<\mathrm{e}^{-x}$ and $y_{1} / y_{2}<\mathrm{e}^{x}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=2 \mathrm{i} J_{0}\left(\sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \sigma>0, y_{2}>y_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)>0$ and $y_{1}>y_{2}$ then $y_{1} / y_{2}>\mathrm{e}^{-x}$ and $y_{1} / y_{2}>\mathrm{e}^{x}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=-2 \mathrm{i} J_{0}\left(\sigma\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \sigma>0, y_{2}<y_{1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Defining

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(t)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } t<0 \\
1 & \text { if } t>0\end{cases}  \tag{3.7a}\\
& S(t)=\left\{\begin{aligned}
-1 & \text { if } t<0 \\
1 & \text { if } t>0
\end{aligned}\right. \tag{3.7b}
\end{align*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(x, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=2 \mathrm{i} H(\sigma) S\left(y_{2}-y_{1}\right) J_{0}\left(\sigma^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \sigma=y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}-2 y_{1} y_{2} \cosh (x) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$
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